

Intuitionistic fuzzy Ψ - Φ -contractive mappings and fixed point theorems in non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces

B. Dinda¹, T.K. Samanta² and Iqbal H. Jebril³

¹Department of Mathematics,
Mahishamuri Ramkrishna Vidyapith,
Howrah-711401, West Bengal, India.
E-mail: bvsdinda@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics,
Uluberia College, Howrah, India.
E-mail: mumpu_tapas5@yahoo.co.in

³Department of Mathematics,
King Faisal University,
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
E-mail:ijopcm@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ contractive mappings are introduced. Intuitionistic fuzzy Banach contraction theorem for M-complete non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy Eltelstein contraction theorem for non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces by intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ contractive mappings are proved.

Keywords: *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ contractive mapping.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46G05.

1. Introduction

Theory of intuitionistic fuzzy set as a generalization of fuzzy set [8] was introduced by Atansov [7]. Grabiec [9] initiated the study of fixed point theory in fuzzy metric spaces. George and Veeramani [1] have pointed out that the definition of Cauchy sequence for fuzzy metric spaces given by Grabiec [9] is weaker and they gave one stronger definition of Cauchy sequence and termed as M-Cauchy sequence. The definition of Cauchy sequence given by Grabiec [9] has been termed as G-Cauchy sequence. With the help of fuzzy

ψ -contractive mappings defined by Dorel Mihet[5], we introduce intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ contractive mappings. Our definition of intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ contractive mapping is more general than the definitions of intuitionistic fuzzy contractive mapping given by Abdul Mohamad [2] and by this contraction we prove an intuitionistic fuzzy Banach contraction theorem for M-complete non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. We also prove an intuitionistic fuzzy Elelstein contraction theorem for non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

2. Preliminaries

We quote some definitions and statements of a few theorems which will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. [4] A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative ,
- (ii) $*$ is continuous ,
- (iii) $a * 1 = a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$, $b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

A few examples of continuous t-norm are $a * b = ab$, $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$, $a * b = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$.

Definition 2.2. [4]. A binary operation $\diamond$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -conorm if $\diamond$ satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) $\diamond$ is commutative and associative ,
- (ii) $\diamond$ is continuous ,
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$, $b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

A few examples of continuous t-conorm are $a \diamond b = a + b - ab$, $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$, $a \diamond b = \min\{a + b, 1\}$.

Definition 2.3. [6] A 5-tuple $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, $\diamond$ is a continuous t -conorm, μ and ν are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ and μ denotes the degree of nearness, ν denotes the degree of non-nearness between x and y relative to t satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$, $s, t > 0$,

- (i) $\mu(x, y, t) + \nu(x, y, t) \leq 1$
- (ii) $\mu(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (iii) $\mu(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (iv) $\mu(x, y, t) = \mu(y, x, t)$;
- (v) $\mu(x, z, t + s) \geq \mu(x, y, t) * \mu(y, z, s)$;
- (vi) $\mu(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous;

- (vii) $\nu(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (viii) $\nu(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ix) $\nu(x, y, t) = \nu(y, x, t)$;
- (x) $\nu(x, z, t + s) \leq \nu(x, y, t) \diamond \nu(y, z, s)$;
- (xi) $\nu(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.

Remark 2.4. If in the above definition the triangular inequalities (v) and (x) are replaced by

$$\mu(x, z, \max\{t, s\}) \geq \mu(x, y, t) * \nu(y, z, s) \quad \text{and} \\ \nu(x, z, \max\{t, s\}) \leq \nu(x, y, t) \diamond \nu(y, z, s).$$

Or, equivalently,

$$\mu(x, z, t) \geq \mu(x, y, t) * \mu(y, z, t) \quad \text{and} \\ \nu(x, z, t) \leq \nu(x, y, t) \diamond \nu(y, z, t).$$

Then $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is called non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.5. [2] Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is intuitionistic fuzzy contractive if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{\mu(f(x), f(y), t)} - 1 \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$ and $\frac{1}{\nu(f(x), f(y), t)} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. (k is called contractive constant of f .)

Definition 2.6. [2] Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We will say that the sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in X is intuitionistic fuzzy contractive if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{\mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)} - 1 \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right)$ and $\frac{1}{\nu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right)$ for all $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy Ψ - Φ -contractive mappings

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in X is called M -Cauchy sequence, if for each $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ and $\nu(x_n, x_m, t) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq n_0$.

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in X is called G -Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+m}, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_{n+m}, t) = 0$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 3.2. A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is said to converge to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 3.3. Let Ψ be the class of all mappings $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that ψ is continuous, non-increasing and $\psi(t) < t, \forall t \in (0, 1)$. Let Φ be the class of all mappings $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that ϕ is continuous, non-decreasing and $\phi(t) > t, \forall t \in (0, 1)$. Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ -contractive mapping if the following implications hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x, y, t) > 0 &\Rightarrow \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), t)) \geq \mu(x, y, t) \\ \nu(x, y, t) < 1 &\Rightarrow \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), t)) \leq \nu(x, y, t).\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.4. Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\mu(f(x), f(y), t)} - 1 \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$ and $\frac{1}{\nu(f(x), f(y), t)} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\nu(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then for each $k \in (0, 1)$, f is an intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ -contractive mapping, with

$$\psi(t) = -k, \quad \phi(t) = \frac{t}{(1-k)t + k}$$

Example 3.5. Let X be a non-empty set with at least two elements. If we define the fuzzy set (X, μ, ν) by $\mu(x, x, t) = 1$ and $\nu(x, x, t) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$; and

$$\mu(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, then $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is an M -complete non-Archimedean fuzzy metric space under any continuous t -norm $*$ and continuous t -conorm $\diamond$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x, y, t) &> 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \mu(x, y, t) = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), t)) \geq \mu(x, y, t) = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), t)) = 1 = \mu(x, y, t); \text{ and} \\ \nu(x, y, t) &< 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \nu(x, y, t) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), t)) \leq \nu(x, y, t) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), t)) = 0 = \nu(x, y, t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore every mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ -contractive mapping.

Definition 3.6. An intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ -contractive sequence in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is any sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in X such that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)) &\geq \mu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \\ \phi(\nu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)) &\leq \nu(x_{n+1}, x_n, t).\end{aligned}$$

An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is called M -complete (G -complete) if every M -Cauchy (G -Cauchy) sequence is convergent in X .

4. Fixed point theorems

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an M -complete non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ -contractive mapping. If there exists $x \in X$ such that $\mu(x, f(x), t) > 0$ and $\nu(x, f(x), t) < 1$ for all $t > 0$, then f has a unique fixed point.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be such that $\mu(x, f(x), t) > 0$ and $\nu(x, f(x), t) < 1$, $t > 0$ and $x_n = f^n(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have for all $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x_0, x_1, t) &\leq \psi(\mu(x_1, x_2, t)) < \mu(x_1, x_2, t) \\ \nu(x_0, x_1, t) &\geq \phi(\nu(x_1, x_2, t)) > \nu(x_1, x_2, t)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x_1, x_2, t) &\leq \psi(\mu(x_2, x_3, t)) < \mu(x_2, x_3, t) \\ \nu(x_1, x_2, t) &\geq \phi(\nu(x_2, x_3, t)) > \nu(x_2, x_3, t)\end{aligned}$$

Hence by induction $\forall t > 0$, $\mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) < \mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)$ and $\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) > \nu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)$. Therefore, for every $t > 0$, $\{\mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of numbers in $(0, 1]$ and $\{\nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of numbers in $[0, 1)$.

Fix $t > 0$. Denote $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ by l and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ by m . Then we have $l \in [0, 1]$ and $m \in [0, 1]$. Since $\psi(\mu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)) \geq \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ and ψ is continuous, $\psi(l) \geq l$. This implies $l = 1$. Also, since $\phi(\nu(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)) \leq \nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ and ϕ is continuous, $\phi(m) \leq m$. This implies $m = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = 0.$$

If $\{x_n\}_n$ is not a M -cauchy sequence then there are $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m(k), n(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m(k) > n(k) \geq k$ and $\mu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \leq 1 - \epsilon$ and $\nu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \geq \epsilon$.

Let for each k , $m(k)$ be the least positive integer exceeding $n(k)$ satisfying the above property, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) &\geq 1 - \epsilon \text{ and } \mu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq 1 - \epsilon. \text{ Also,} \\ \nu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) &\leq \epsilon \text{ and } \nu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Then for each positive integer k ,

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \epsilon &\geq \mu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \\ &\geq \mu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t) * \mu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t) \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) * \mu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &\leq \nu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \\ &\leq \nu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, t) \diamond \nu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t) \\ &\leq \epsilon \diamond \nu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t).\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{(1 - \epsilon) * \mu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t)\} = (1 - \epsilon) * \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t) =$$

$(1 - \epsilon) * 1 = (1 - \epsilon)$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{\epsilon \diamond \nu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t)\} = \epsilon \diamond \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}, t) = \epsilon \diamond 0 = \epsilon.$$

It follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) = 1 - \epsilon$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) = \epsilon$.

Now, $\mu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \leq \psi(\mu(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}, t))$ and $\nu(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, t) \geq \phi(\nu(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}, t))$. Since ψ and ϕ are continuous taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$1 - \epsilon \leq \psi(1 - \epsilon) < 1 - \epsilon$ and $\epsilon \geq \phi(\epsilon) > \epsilon$, which are contradictions. Thus $\{x_n\}_n$ is a M-cauchy sequence.

If $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_n = y$ then from $\psi(\mu(f(y), f(x_n), t)) \geq \mu(y, x_n, t)$ and $\phi(\nu(f(y), f(x_n), t)) \leq \nu(y, x_n, t)$ it follows that $x_{n+1} \rightarrow f(y)$.

Therefore we have

$$\mu(y, f(y), t) \geq \mu(y, x_n, t) * \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) * \mu(x_{n+1}, f(y), t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies $\mu(y, f(y), t) = 1$.

$$\nu(y, f(y), t) \leq \nu(y, x_n, t) \diamond \nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \diamond \nu(x_{n+1}, f(y), t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies $\nu(y, f(y), t) = 0$. Hence, $f(y) = y$.

If x, y are fixed points of f then $\mu(f(x), f(y), t) = \mu(x, y, t) \leq \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), t))$ and $\nu(f(x), f(y), t) = \nu(x, y, t) \geq \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), t))$, $\forall t > 0$.

If $x \neq y$ then $\mu(x, y, s) < 1$ and $\nu(x, y, s) > 0$ for some $s > 0$ i.e., $0 < \mu(x, y, s) < 1$ and $0 < \nu(x, y, s) < 1$ hold, implying

$$\mu(f(x), f(y), s) \leq \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), s)) < \mu(f(x), f(y), s) \text{ and } \nu(f(x), f(y), s) \geq \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), s)) > \nu(f(x), f(y), s), \text{ which are contradictions.}$$

Thus $x = y$.

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be a non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If $\{x_n\}_n$ and $\{y_n\}_n$ be two sequences in X converges to x and y respectively then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) = \mu(x, y, t)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, y_n, t) = \nu(x, y, t)$.*

Proof. Since $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be a non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) &\geq \mu(x_n, x, t) * \mu(x, y, t) * \mu(y, y_n, t) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) &\geq 1 * \mu(x, y, t) * 1 = \mu(x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x_n, y_n, t) &\leq \nu(x_n, x, t) \diamond \nu(x, y, t) \diamond \nu(y, y_n, t) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, y_n, t) &\leq 0 \diamond \nu(x, y, t) \diamond 0 = \nu(x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \mu(x, y, t) &\geq \mu(x, x_n, t) * \mu(x_n, y_n, t) * \mu(y, y_n, t) \\ \Rightarrow \mu(x, y, t) &\geq 1 * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) * 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, y_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \nu(x, y, t) &\geq \nu(x, x_n, t) * \nu(x_n, y_n, t) * \nu(y, y_n, t) \\ \Rightarrow \nu(x, y, t) &\geq 0 \diamond \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, y_n, t) \diamond 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, y_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be a compact non-Archimedean intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ψ - ϕ -contractive mapping. Then f has a unique fixed point.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $x_n = f^n(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each n (if not $f(x_n) = x_n$).

Now assume $x_n \neq x_m$ ($n \neq m$), otherwise for $m < n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= \mu(x_m, x_{m+1}, t) \leq \psi(\mu(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, t)) < \mu(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, t) < \dots < \mu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \text{ and} \\ \nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= \nu(x_m, x_{m+1}, t) \geq \phi(\nu(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, t)) > \nu(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, t) > \dots > \nu(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Since X is compact, $\{x_n\}_n$ in X has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (say). Let $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to y . We also assume that $y, f(y) \notin \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (if not, choose a subsequence with such a property). According to the above assumptions we may now write for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_{n_i}, y, t) &\leq \psi(\mu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t)) < \mu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) \\ \nu(x_{n_i}, y, t) &\geq \phi(\nu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t)) > \nu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ and ϕ are continuous for all $x, y \in X$. From lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{n_i}, y, t) &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) \\ \Rightarrow 1 &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) &= 1. \text{ and} \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_{n_i}, y, t) &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f(x_{n_i}), f(y), t) &= 0. \text{ i.e.,} \end{aligned}$$

$$(1) \quad f(x_{n_i}) \rightarrow f(y)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(2) \quad f^2(x_{n_i}) \rightarrow f^2(y)$$

Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_{n_1}, f(x_{n_1}), t) &\leq \psi(\mu(f(x_{n_1}), f^2(x_{n_1}), t)) < \mu(f(x_{n_1}), f^2(x_{n_1}), t) < \dots < \\ \mu(x_{n_i}, f(x_{n_i}), t) &< \mu(f(x_{n_i}), f^2(x_{n_i}), t) < \dots < 1. \text{ and} \\ \nu(x_{n_1}, f(x_{n_1}), t) &\geq \phi(\nu(f(x_{n_1}), f^2(x_{n_1}), t)) > \nu(f(x_{n_1}), f^2(x_{n_1}), t) > \dots > \\ \nu(x_{n_i}, f(x_{n_i}), t) &> \nu(f(x_{n_i}), f^2(x_{n_i}), t) > \dots > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{\mu(x_{n_i}, f(x_{n_i}), t)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mu(f(x_{n_i}), f^2(x_{n_i}), t)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a common limit. Also, $\{\nu(x_{n_i}, f(x_{n_i}), t)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\nu(f(x_{n_i}), f^2(x_{n_i}), t)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a common limit.

So, by (1), (2) and lemma 4.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y, f(y), t) &= \mu\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}, f\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}\right), t\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_{n_i}, f(x_{n_i}), t) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f(x_{n_i}), f^2(x_{n_i}), t) = \mu\left(f\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}\right), f^2\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}\right), t\right) = \mu(f(y), f^2(y), t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(y, f(y), t) &= \nu\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}, f\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}\right), t\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_{n_i}, f(x_{n_i}), t) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f(x_{n_i}), f^2(x_{n_i}), t) = \nu\left(f\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}\right), f^2\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}\right), t\right) = \nu(f(y), f^2(y), t) \\ &\text{for all } t > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $f(y) \neq y$, then we have $\mu(y, f(y), t) \leq \psi(\mu(f(y), f^2(y), t)) < \mu(f(y), f^2(y), t)$ and $\nu(y, f(y), t) \geq \phi(\nu(f(y), f^2(y), t)) > \nu(f(y), f^2(y), t)$, $t > 0$, a contradiction.

Hence $y = f(y)$ is a fixed point.

If x, y are fixed points of f then $\mu(f(x), f(y), t) = \mu(x, y, t) \leq \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), t))$ and $\nu(f(x), f(y), t) = \nu(x, y, t) \geq \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), t))$, $\forall t > 0$.

Suppose that $x \neq y$, then $\mu(x, y, s) < 1$ and $\nu(x, y, s) > 0$ for some $s > 0$ i.e., $0 < \mu(x, y, s) < 1$ and $0 < \nu(x, y, s) < 1$ hold, implying

$$\mu(f(x), f(y), s) \leq \psi(\mu(f(x), f(y), s)) < \mu(f(x), f(y), s) \text{ and } \nu(f(x), f(y), s) \geq \phi(\nu(f(x), f(y), s)) > \nu(f(x), f(y), s), \text{ which are contradictions.}$$

Therefore it must be the case that $x = y$.

Hence the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.George, P.Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994) 395-399.
- [2] A. Mohamad, *Fixed-point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 34 (2007) 1689-1695.
- [3] B.Dinda, T.K. Samanta, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Continuity and Uniform Convergence* , Int. J. Open Problems Compt.Math., 3(1)(2010) 8-26.
- [4] B.Schweizer, A.Sklar, *Statistical metric space*, Pacific journal of mathmematics, 10 (1960) 314-334.
- [5] D. Mihet, *Fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 159 (2008) 739-744.
- [6] J.H.Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 22 (2004) 1039-1046.
- [7] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986) 87 - 96.
- [8] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and control, 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [9] M. Grabiec, *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1988) 385-389.